



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NEW RULE FOR CUBE ROOT.

BY J. B. MOTT, WORTHINGTON, MINNESOTA.

IN the following example the ordinary method is pursued till the second figure of the root is found. We then find the triple product (t. p.) by placing the second figure of the root to the right of three times the preceding part of the root and multiplying this by said second figure, and so on for all the triple products, finding one from the other. Thus:

1st trial divisor + 1st t. p. = 1st complete divisor.

1st complete divisor + 1st t. p. + b^2 + 2nd t. p. = 2nd complete divisor.

2nd complete divisor + 2nd t. p. + c^2 + 3rd t. p. = 3rd com. divisor, &c., placing each t. p. two figures to the right under the preceding divisor; b , c &c., being 2nd, 3rd &c. figures of the root.

Each complete divisor is used as a trial divisor for the next figure of the root. The constant left hand figures of any divisor, used as in simple division, will determine as many more figures of the root.

EXAMPLE.—To find the cube root of 2 to fourteen places of decimals.

First trial divisor	= 3	2 (1.259921
" t. p. = 32×2	= 64	1
" com. divisor	= 364)1000
1st t. p. + 4 + 2d t. p.,	8625	728
2nd complete divisor,	45025)272000
2nd t. p. + 25 + 3d t. p.,	218831	225125
3rd complete divisor,	4721331)46875000
3rd t. p. + 81 + 4th t. p.,	3731211	42491979
4th complete divisor,	475864311)4383021000
4th t. p. + 81 + 5th t. p.,	34765144	4282778799
5th complete divisor,	47621196244)100242201000
5th t. p. + 4 + 6th t. p.,	79375561	95242392488
6th complete divisor,	4762198998961)4999808512000
6th t. p. + 0 + 7th t. p.,	3779762	4762198998961
7th complete divisor,	4762202778723)237609513039

Dividing, we have $2376095 \div 47622028 = .04989487 +$. This united to the root above gives $\sqrt[3]{2} = 1.25992104989487 +$.

REMARKS ON PROFESSOR JOHNSON'S QUERY BY R. J. ADCOCK.—Mr. Jonson's paradox involves two questions, the value of u , and the value of $du \div dx = \cos ax$, when $a = \infty$. He says "now if $a = \infty$, $u = 0$ independently of x ". This I deny. 0 is used to represent both actual zero and

infinitesimal quantities. It cannot however be so used unless it can be shown that no error will result from such use in the particular case. In this case when $a = \infty$, $u =$ actual zero or an infinitesimal, that is $u = 0 \div a$ or some infinitesimal between $1 \div a$ and $-1 \div a$, these infinitesimals depending for their values upon a and x . And the rate at which these infinitesimals change their values is $du \div dx = \cos ax$, for all values of a and x .

When a is infinite, that is greater than any assignable number, then a is indeterminately great, and by consequence indeterminate; $\cos ax$ is the cosine of an arc in a given circle, the arc being as indeterminate as a , and therefore $\cos ax$ is indeterminate both in "form" and value, and from the given conditions can no more be affirmed to be zero than any other value between $+1$ and -1 . There being no preference or reason for the termination of the arc ax in one part of the circumference rather than another. Mathematics having to deal with truth, like the "Scripture is of no private interpretation".

DIFFERENTIATION OF THE LOGARITHM OF A VARIABLE.

BY PROF. LABAN E. WARREN, COLBY UNIV., WATERTVILLE, ME.

To differentiate the logarithm of a variable, let $y = e^x$;

$$\therefore x = \log_e y; \therefore dx = d(\log_e y).$$

$$y + dy = e^{x+dx}, dy = e^{x+dx} - e^x \text{ or } dy = e^x(e^{dx} - 1),$$

$$e^{dx} = 1 + dx + \frac{dx^2}{2!} + \frac{dx^3}{3!} + \&c., \text{ or } e^{dx} = 1 + dx;$$

$$dy = e^x(1 + dx - 1), \text{ or } dy = e^x dx = y dx, \text{ or } dx = dy \div y,$$

but $dx = d(\log_e y)$;

$$\therefore d(\log_e y) = dy \div y, \text{ differential of Napierian logarithm.}$$

$$\log_{10} y = m(\log_e y); \therefore d(\log_{10} y) = m d(\log_e y);$$

$$\therefore d(\log_{10} y) = m \frac{dy}{y}, \text{ differential of common log.}$$

SOLUTIONS OF PROBLEMS NUMBER TWO.

SOLUTIONS of problems in No. 2 have been received as follows:

From Prof. L. G. Barbour, 434; Prof. W. P. Casey, 430, 431, 433; G. E. Curtis, 429, 434; Geo. Eastwood, 431; Wm. Hoover, 429, 430; Prof. P. H. Philbrick, 429, 430, 431, 433, 434; Prof. E. B. Seitz, 430, 431, 433, 435; Prof. J. Scheffer, 428, 430, 431, 433.